Transcript of Proceedings

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

E. HOWARD HUNT, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

: No. 80-1121-Civ.-JWK

LIBERTY LOBBY, INC.,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF RICHARD MCGARRAH HELMS

Washington, D. C.

Friday, June 1, 1984

ACE - FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Official Reporters

444 North Capital Street Washington, D.C. 2000T

NATIONWIDE COVERAGE - DAILY

Telephone: (202) 347-3700

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT

CR 19233.0 Tepper/ Smith

9	
1	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
3	x
4	E. HOWARD HUNT, JR.,
5	Plaintiff, :
6	v. : No. 80-1121-CivJWK
7	LIBERTY LOBBY, INC., :
8	Defendant. :
9	x
10	DEPOSITION OF RICHARD McGARRAH HELMS
11	Washington, D. C.
12	Friday, June 1, 1984
13	Deposition of RICHARD McGARRAH HELMS, called for
14	examination, pursuant to notice, by counsel for the Plaintiff,
15	at the law offices of Ober, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver, Suite
16	710, Ring Building, 1200 Eighteenth Street, N.W., Washington,
17	D. C. 20036, at 2:05 p.m., before Claire B. Tepper, a notary
18	public in and for the District of Columbia, when were
19	present on behalf of the respective parties:
20	On Behalf of the Plaintiff:
21	WILLIAM A. SNYDER, JR., ESQ. and DANIEL DUTCHER,
22	Ober, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver, 1600 Maryland National Bank Building, Baltimore, Maryland 21202.

1	On Behalf of the Defendant:
2	MARK LANE, ESQ. and BRENT WHITMORE,
3	105 Second Street, N.E., Washington, D. C. 20002.
4	On Behalf of the Deponent and the United States Government:
5	LEE STRICKLAND, ESQ. and PAGE MOFFETT, ESQ., Assistant General Counsel,
6	Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C. 20505.
7	JOHN SMITH,
8	Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D. C. 20505.
9	
10	
11	** ** **
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	<u>C</u> <u>O</u> <u>N</u> <u>T</u> <u>E</u> <u>N</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>		
2	WITNESS DIRECT CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	RICHARD McGARRAH HELMS 6 35	119	127
4			
5	<u>E X H I B I T S</u>		
6	EXHIBIT NO.	II	ENTIFIED
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1		7
8	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2		10
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3		11
10	Deposition Exhibit No. 4		62
11	Deposition Exhibit No. 5		64
12	Deposition Exhibit No. 6		64
13			
14			
15	** ** **		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

PROCEEDINGS

Whereupon,

RICHARD McGARRAH HELMS

was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Snyder, may I make just a brief statement.

MR. SNYDER: Yes.

MR. MOFFETT: I want the record to be clear. I am

Page Moffett of the Office of General Counsel, CIA, who along
with Mr. Strickland am here on behalf of the United States
and Ambassador Helms. With me is a gentleman from the Agency
whose identity is protected pursuant to statute but whose name
is John Smith, obviously a fictitious name. He is here solely
for the purpose of a complex matter, advising us if a question
calls for classified information and solely in that capacity,
and will not be stating anything for the record whatsoever.
But I wanted everybody to know that.

MR. LANE: At this point I would like each person at the table to identify himself or herself.

My name is Mark Lane. I am an attorney for the defendant in this case. My address is 105 Second Street, N.E.,

Washington, D. C. 20002.

MS. WHITMORE: I am Brent Whitmore. I am a paralegal for Liberty Lobby.

MR. SNYDER: Messrs. Strickland and Moffett and Smith have already identified themselves. Ambassador Helms is here.

This is my law clerk, Dan Dutcher. I am William Snyder on behalf of the plaintiff, Mr. Hunt.

MR. LANE: I object to proceeding at this time with Mr. John Smith, who apparently has refused to tell us his real name, being present unless he identifies himself. This is not Madison Square Garden. It is not a public event. This is a solemn proceeding. The record of this deposition should reveal who was present, not the names of people who are not present but the real names of people who are present. And I ask Mr. Smith to identify himself or to leave.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, with all due respect, sir, once again I repeat that Mr. Smith's name is protected by statute, and of course if the judge in this case would like to know Mr. Smith's true identity, we will certainly be more than happy to provide that.

I reemphasize that Mr. Smith is not here to give testimony but is simply in an advisory capacity for that and

Acc- Federal Roborters, Inc.

transcript, you stated that Clay Shaw -- I'll read the 2 answer: "The only recollection I have of Clay Shaw and the Agency is that I believe that at one time as a businessman he 5 was one of the part-time contacts of the Domestic Contact Division, that people that talked to businessmen, professors, 7 and so forth, and who traveled in and out of the country." Do you recall making that statement under oath on 9 May 17, 1979? 10 If it says here I did make it under oath, I guess I 11 did. 12 Does that refresh your recollection as to who Clay 13 Shaw is? 14 No, it doesn't help a bit. It simply indicates that I guess I had heard of him. 16 Well, it indicates if you were telling the truth 17 that you knew he worked for the CIA; isn't that correct? 18 MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, please refrain from making 19 those insinuations. 20 MR. LANE: You consider that an insinuation? 21 MR. MOFFETT: Yes, sir, I do, but that's not the 22 point.

MR. LANE: It certainly is not the point. And you 1 are not here to object to any statements that I have to make. You are here to advise your client as to what is classified and what is not classified. MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, we are both here to keep --5 MR. LANE: You are not to interfere with me. 6 7 BY MR. LANE: I ask you this, Mr. Helms: Did you testify --8 MR. MOFFETT: Madam Reporter, I wish to note for 9 the record that we consider that last comment to be insulting, 10 11 and that's one. MR. LANE: Well, that is foolish on your part, and 12 13 that is two. 14 BY MR. LANE: 15 Now, Mr. Helms, did you testify on May 17, 1979, that Clay Shaw was a contact of the Central Intelligence Agency? 16 17 MR. SNYDER: Objection. He has already stated that 18 he can't recall but if he said it he must have known Shaw. 19 MR. LANE: And I'm not asking you the question 20 either, Mr. Snyder. I'm asking Mr. Helms. 21 BY MR. LANE: 22 Do you have the testimony in front of you?

1	A Yes. It says, "The only recollection I have of
2	Clay Shaw and the Agency is that I believe at one time as a
3	businessman he was one of the part-time contacts of the
4	Domestic Contact Division." And that's all. I recollected
5	that at the time. Five years later I recollect only that the
6	name seems vaguely familiar.
7	Q Now, where did you get that document you just read
8	from?
9	A I beg your pardon?
10	Q Where did you get that document that you just read
11	from?
12	MR. STRICKLAND: His counsel provided it for the
13	Ambassador's perusal.
14	BY MR. LANE:
15	Q So you had that when you came into this room; is
16	that right, Mr. Helms?
17	MR. STRICKLAND: No, that is not correct. I said
18	counsel provided
19	BY MR. LANE:
20	Q Your attorney had it when you came into the room.
21	A Yes.
22	MR. STRICKLAND: Mr. Lane, I will attempt to refrain

from interrupting you if you will please exchange a similar 2 courtesy to everyone at the table. 3 MR. LANE: Uh-huh. BY MR. LANE: 5 Now, when did you first hear the name of Clay Shaw? 6 A I have no idea. 7 Do you know the name Jim Garrison? Is this the Garrison who was at one time Mayor of 8 New Orleans --10 Not to my knowledge. -- or a district attorney or something in New 11 Orleans? In other words, a law officer in New Orleans? 12 13 Yes, he was the district attorney. He is now a 14 judge on the Court of Appeals in Louisiana. 15 Oh. 16 Did you know that Clay Shaw was a defendant in a 17 trial in New Orleans, Louisiana, in which he was charged with 18 conspiracy to assassinate President Kennedy? 19 MR. SNYDER: Object. It assumes a fact that has 20 not been introduced into evidence. 21 BY MR. LANE: 22 Q You may answer it.

Now that you link Clay Shaw and Jim Garrison, I do recall that there was a lot of -- there were newspaper stories 3 many years ago about allegations of some sort. The specific one that you mention I don't recall. Do you recall that it was part of Mr. Shaw's defense, 5 said publicly and broadcast widely throughout the country at 7 the time, that he had never had any contact whatsoever with the Central Intelligence Agency? 9 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. It assumes facts not in 10 evidence. 11 BY MR. LANE: 12 You can answer the question. 13 Well, it may have been widely publicized at the time 14 but I don't recall it. 15 Did you at any time publicly state or privately 16 state to the law enforcement authorities of the United States 17 or those in Louisiana, including Mr. Garrison, that Mr. Shaw 18 had in fact had a connection with the Central Intelligence 19 Agency? 20 That he had or had not had? 21 That he had such a connection. Did you ever reveal 22 that at that time to anyone?

A I don't remember. 2 All right. I think you stated when you were ques-3 tioned by Mr. Snyder that you did not withhold information from any properly authorized body; is that correct? 5 Well, I don't recall that I said exactly that. Could 6 the court reporter read back what I did say? 7 Did you say anything something like that? 8 MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, I think it's important to 9 have the reporter read back exactly what the Ambassador said, 10 because he did not say that. 11 BY MR. LANE: 12 All right. Let me ask you this question: Did you say when you were the Director of the CIA you would not with-14 hold information from any properly authorized body, in words 15 or substance? 16 No, I thought what we were talking about was a 17 specific matter involved with the assassination of President 18 Kennedy. 19 All right. 20 And I said -- I believe I said that as Director I 21 would not have advocated the policy of withholding information 22 about any relevant facts concerning President Kennedy's

assassination from properly constituted authorities. 2 (Discussion off the record.) 3 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) BY MR. LANE: 5 Mr. Helms, have you ever been convicted of a crime? Q A crime? Well, I'm not a lawyer, Mr. Lane, so would 7 you specify what level of difficulty you are describing? I was convicted of a misdemeanor one time. What were you convicted of? 10 For not having fully and completely testified before 11 a congressional committee. 12 And that is what you pleaded guilty to; is that 0 13 correct? 14 It was a nolo contendere plea, as I recall it. 15 And were you originally charged with a more serious 16 crime? 17 MR. STRICKLAND: Mr. Lane, I would respectfully 18 submit that this is a matter of public record. It was inquired 19 into in depth by various Senate committees. I can steer you 20 to several appropriate Senate publications, but in sum I 21 believe the matter is fully a public record and I see no

Acc. Federal Reporters, Inc.

further relevance to questions along this line past what

22

Ambassador Helms has already testified to.

MR. LANE: Let me explain how this works.

MR. SNYDER: Also, I would object on the ground that what somebody may have been charged with is not, as I understand the Federal Rules of Evidence, probative of their reputation for truthfulness or lack of it.

BY MR. LANE:

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Under what section was the crime charged against you, the one to which you pleaded guilty?

MR. STRICKLAND: Same objection.

MR. LANE: Let me explain this to you.

MR. SNYDER: What section of what? Penal Code?

MR. LANE: United States Code.

BY MR. LANE:

Q Do you recall what section?

Now, if your lawyer is going to object to this,

Mr. Helms, let me explain to you how this works. If this

deposition is to be read to a jury, as it may well be in Miami
in the next couple of months, the suggestion of your counsel
that the jury should scurry off to the library and check the

Senate hearings is, of course, ludicrous. The jury has the
right to hear this information in judging your credibility,

just as they would if you were on the witness stand. And they are entitled to know specifically what it is you pleaded guilty to. So I'm asking specifically: Under what section of the Code were you charged?

A I don't recall what it was.

- Q Do you recall when it was?
- A I believe it was in the fall of 1977.
- Q And was it in reference to your testimony before a body of the United States Government?

A It was with reference to testimony before certain Senate committees and subcommittees.

MR. STRICKLAND: Mr. Lane, I am going to repeat, as we stated before, that this is a matter of public record. In no instance did I suggest that the jury should, in your words, quote, "scurry off to the library and find out about these matters," unquote.

If you are aware, a matter of a conviction is in the judicial record; it's a matter of public record. The court may take judicial notice of it. You may introduce those records yourself if you wish to, and if the court would receive them into evidence -- and I would suggest that they are the best evidence as to matters which you may wish to prove. I

would suggest at this juncture we move ahead to more reasonable matters.

MR. LANE: Well, I want to tell you how much I appreciate your sharing your long years of experience as a trial attorney with me and advising me as to how best to proceed as a trial lawyer. But I'm going to tell you this, sir. I am entitled to ask these questions. If this witness refuses to answer these questions, I do not believe that anything that happened to him will ever get to the jury. And you should know that, Mr. Snyder. I'm entitled to probe into this area. The jury is entitled to evaluate for credibility of this witness and to know the circumstances surrounding the charge which resulted in the plea.

MR. STRICKLAND: And I repeat that those are matters of public record which the court may take judicial notice of or which you may introduce. Mr. Lane, I would further ask if you are indeed a member of either the Virginia or District of Columbia bars. There is some question raised as to whether you are properly appearing here today.

MR. LANE: Well, let me try to explain that to you also since we have done this already. I have been admitted to practice for this case before the appropriate court before

which this case is being tried. You may not be here appropriately but I certainly am. It's a question of being a member of the Florida bar because this is a proceeding relating to a trial in Florida, and I have been admitted by the trial court for this purpose.

MR. STRICKLAND: So you acknowledge that you are not a member of the District of Columbia bar? Have you associated a member of the District of Columbia bar as local counsel for this proceeding? Because, Mr. Lane, if you are unfamiliar, this case --

MR. LANE: First of all, you're not questioning me about anything; I'm asking the questions.

MR. STRICKLAND: -- as a foreign subpoena, will be entered into the records of the U. S. District Court as a miscellaneous action number. It can only be done so by a member of the District of Columbia bar.

MR. LANE: If you have examined any of the pleadings in this case, you would see there's a member of the District of Columbia bar with whom I am associated and who has been associated with this case even before I was associated.

MR. STRICKLAND: Present at this proceeding?

MR. LANE: I'm going to proceed.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BY MR. LANE:

I am going to ask the question again: Mr. Helms, you have testified, I think, that you entered a plea to a charge. I am asking now: What was the testimony that you gave before the Senate Committee which led to the charge which resulted in your entering a plea -- the nature of the subject matter?

MR. SNYDER: Objection on this ground: Maybe one of you two from the Office of General Counsel can say if it truly was a nolo plea. I don't think it can be used collaterally against him for any purpose. If it's a guilty plea, it can. Does anybody know or willing to say?

His testimony up to now is that it was a nolo plea, to his recollection, and I would object on the basis that it's not proper under the FRE to go into that.

MR. STRICKLAND: Mr. Snyder, I would think that is your objection to make and I think it is made for the record.

MR. SNYDER: Well, if you aren't willing to state which it was, then in the interest of protecting myself so that this testimony can be read into the record, I would ask you to allow Ambassador Helms to answer that question.

MR. STRICKLAND: You may answer that question,

Acc- Federal Robertons Inc

Ambassador.

THE WITNESS: The general subject matter had to do with activities of the Agency in Chile.

BY MR. LANE:

Q Was it related to the overthrow of the Allende Government?

A No, it was not. My recollection is that the testimony that I was giving at that time was in point of fact -let me see -- I think it may even have been before Allende
became President of Chile.

Q What was it about?

A It had to do with the activities of the Agency in connection -- or prior to the Chilean election, presidential election, which was to take place -- don't hold me to this but I think it was in 1972.

Q And what is it that you said to the Senate committee that was not true?

A I was --

MR. SNYDER: Excuse me. Objection. I have not heard any predicate lead for the assertion that anything was not truthful. I haven't heard it said that he plead guilty to perjury. Absent that, I don't think that is a proper question.

BY MR. LANE:

Q In fact, you did plead guilty, did you not, Mr. Helms?

A My recollection -- as I say, Mr. Lane, I am not a lawyer and obviously I was represented by legal counsel. My understanding was that I pleaded nolo contendere.

Q Your attorney was Edward Bennett Williams at the time?

A Yes.

Q And do you state now that the statement that you made to the United States Senate Committee was not true?

A But you see --

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, once again, I would respectfully ask that the questions have been asked and answered to
the best of the Ambassador's knowledge, and we respectfully
request that we go on with this deposition and not pursue this
line any further.

MR. LANE: This question has not been answered. If you were listening carefully, you would realize that this question has not been answered, and we are going on with the deposition and this is part of the deposition. And, sir, this is a very important part of the deposition.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, Ambassador Helms has stated that to his recollection he pleaded nolo contendere.

MR. LANE: That is not the question.

MR. MOFFETT: I understand it's not the question. I am just informing you, Mr. Lane, that if you continue with these questions on this subject here, then we will ask to have the deposition stopped and move the appropriate court for a protective order. Now, we would request that you continue on with the deposition.

MR. LANE: The jury is entitled, sir, to know whether this witness has made a statement to a Senate committee while he was under oath which statement he now admits was untrue.

That is absolutely relevant, whether he was charged --

MR. STRICKLAND: I'm going to object to that characterization.

MR. LANE: I didn't say he has. I said they are entitled to know that, whether that statement related to the perjury indictment or no charge at all. Every witness who testifies puts his credibility in issue. Mr. Helm's credibility is in issue, and I am entitled to ask him now -- and I have and I will again and I do now.

BY MR. LANE: Mr. Helms, did you make a statement to that Senate 2 committee which was untrue? 3 (Off-the-record consultation between the witness and 5 his counsel.) MR. STRICKLAND: Would the reporter read the question 7 back, please. (The pending question was read by the reporter.) 8 9 THE WITNESS: Mr. Lane, I don't recall having been 10 charged with making any untrue statements. I do not believe I 11 made any untrue statements. My recollection of the charge 12 against me was that I had not responded to these questions 13 fully and completely. 14 BY MR. LANE: And is it true that you had not responded to the questions fully and completely? 17 Well, it's one of those things that I think opinions 18 may differ on. 19 And did you take an oath there before you testified 20 before the Senate committee, just as you took an oath today, 21 to tell the whole truth? 22 A Yes.

this, then we will invoke the Ambassador's rights under the Federal Rules to limit or to move for a protective order against this continued line of questioning or a continued deposition. Please go into other areas.

MR. SNYDER: Mr. Moffett, I would respectfully disagree with you on this basis. I objected to Mr. Lane's earlier questions because we had established that he had pleaded something to something, some charge. We had established that it was a misdemeanor. We had not established that it was perjury. My recollection -- I may be right or wrong -- is that President Carter personally intervened to see to it that he was not charged with any kind of perjury.

I think Mr. Lane is not inquiring as to criminal charges right now. He is simply asking if Ambassador Helms had violated an oath, irrespective of any criminal proceeding. I think it's a proper question. It may be embarrassing for him to answer it, but I think it's a proper question and I would hope you would allow him to answer it because I think the rules do permit it.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Snyder, I'd like once again to state for the record that Ambassador Helms has answered these questions to the best of his ability to Mr. Lane, and we will

allow him to answer that one question, but then I must insist that you either go to another area or we will stop this deposition.

MR. SNYDER: But, Mr. Moffett, the next question is going to be: "Ambassador Helms, do you consider withholding the full truth consistent with your oath to tell all the turth?"

I think that also would be a proper question, so I would urge you to let this go on for a little while and see what happens.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Snyder, I would respectfully disagree because the Ambassador has already testified that he does not believe he told any falsehoods to the appropriate committees. Now, it seems that Mr. Lane has the information he wants. Every witness' credibility, it's true, is before a jury but it's not ad infinitum, and especially a witness who is not going to be a witness at the trial.

I would ask the reporter to please read that one question back, and we would ask the Ambassador to answer that, and then we would request once again, sirs, that we go to another line of inquiry.

(The pending question was read by the reporter.)

THE WITNESS: The oath that I took before the

Senate committee or Senate committees was, I believe, the standard oath.

1		BY MR. LANE:
2	Q	And that was to tell the whole truth?
3	А	I believe it was the standard oath, whatever it was.
4	Q	You don't recall?
5	A	I assume that it stated the truth, the whole truth,
6	and nothi	ng but the truth.
7	Q	Is it a procedure within the Central Intelligence
8	Agency to	o, on occasion, make statements which are not true?
9	A	Is it a procedure?
10		MR. SNYDER: I would ask for a clarification of that.
11	In its de	ealings with
12		MR. LANE: Anybody, anywhere, any time.
13		MR. STRICKLAND: Are you referring to a tribunal or
14	a Senate	committee, or are you referring, for instance, to
15	day-to-da	y operational activity which might take place over-
16	seas?	
17		BY MR. LANE:
18	Q	The question, Mr. Helms, is: Is it ever a procedure
19	or method	of the CIA for any of its agents or operatives, under
20	any circu	umstances, ever to make statements which are not true?
21	A	Mr. Lane, that is such a speculative wide-open
22	question	I don't know how to answer it.

1	0	Well, let me put it this way: Do you know of any-
2	body in t	he CIA who ever used a pseudonym or alias?
3	A	Is that the question you're asking me?
4	Q	Yes.
Б	A	Yes, I do know people that have used a pseudonym and
6	an alias.	
7	Q	Do you know David Atlee Phillips?
8	A	I do know David Atlee Phillips.
9	Q	And did David Atlee Phillips work for the Central
10	Intellige	nce Agency?
11	A	He did.
12	Q	In what capacity?
13	A	Well, I don't recall his various assignments, but
14	he was in	the operational end of it, as best I recall it.
15	Q	Did he ever use a pseudonym?
16	A	I assume he did.
17	Q	Did he ever use an alias?
18	A	I don't know.
19	Q	Is there a difference between a pseudonym and an
20	alias?	
21	А	Well, in the jargon of the Agency, a pseudonym is
22	something	that you are assigned for your protection overseas.

An alias would be a name that you took on the streets or in 2 your contacts with other people. For example, in the case of this individual sitting here today, John Smith would be an alias. 5 Except that he is telling us or it was said on his 6 behalf that his real name is not John Smith. 7 That's what I meant by John Smith being an alias. 8 Do you know of any occasion where anyone who worked 9 for the CIA ever made a false statement? 10 Well, I would have thought that in the overseas 11 operations of the Agency, where the missions were espionage 12 and counterespionage, that representatives of the Agency may 13 have told falsehoods or misled people from time to time, but 14 that was part of the work. Q Was it ever part of the work to tell falsehoods or 16 mislead people from time to time in terms of operations 17 within the United States or actions within the United States? 18 I just can't answer the question. A 19 0 Why is that? 20 I don't know. 21 You don't know? Q 22 No.

1 Do you know of anyone who worked for the CIA who ever 2 made a false statement while working in the United States? 3 How can I answer a question like that? What is the 4 purpose of it? 5 Well, we'll get to that on perhaps some other 6 occasion, and the jury will understand that, but I think you 7 can answer with a "yes" or "no." (Off-the-record consultation between the witness and his counsel.) 10 THE WITNESS: Well, I would have thought to the 11 extent the Agency ran operations, sometimes starting in the 12 United States and then working overseas, that the same sort 13 of practices might have eventuated in the physical United 14 States as eventuated overseas in connection with such opera-15 tions. 16 BY MR. LANE: 17 Do you recall testifying in the Weberman case in 18 Alexandria on May 17, 1979? We have already talked about part 19 of your testimony. 20 I don't recall the testimony, as a matter of fact, 21 Mr. Lane. I recall having gone to Alexandria because I very 22 seldom go to Alexandria, and I remember being there that day

article. It reflects on a number of things. It provides corroboration and to the intrinsic truth of the original article and certainly goes to the question of any damages that Mr. Hunt may claim. 5 MR. SNYDER: It shows that there are two crazies in 6 the world instead of one, but I still object to it. 7 MR. LANE: I am not going to strike that remark. I 8 am going to ask the court to deal with that when we get before 9 the court. 10 MR. SNYDER: Fine. 11 BY MR. LANE: 12 Now, Mr. Helms, I want to ask you to read that 13 Exhibit No. 4. 14 MR. STRICKLAND: Excuse me, Mr. Lane. Do you have 15 copies for counsel for the government and counsel for the 16 plaintiff? 17 MR. LANE: Are you representing the plaintiff? 18 MR. STRICKLAND: No, sir, I said: Do you have 19 copies for counsel for the government --20 MR. LANE: I have a copy for you, if you like. If 21 anybody else wants a copy, they can ask for it, although 22 counsel for the plaintiff knows I've given those copies.

1		BY MR. LANE:	
2	Q	Now, is that the article which you were questioned	
3	about som	newhat extensively on May 17, 1979?	
4	A	It appears to be.	
5	Q	And that questioning went on for about 12 pages,	
6	regarding	that article?	
7	A	Yes, 73 to 84.	
8	Q	And that is inclusive including 73 and 84; is	
9	that corr	ect?	
10	A	Yes, I read from 73 (examining document) to	
11	the last paragraph of 84.		
12	Q	Yes. The subject matter ostensibly of that deposi-	
13	tion rela	ted to the publication of a book by Mr. Weberman; is	
14	that correct?		
15	A	I guess so.	
16	Q	A book called <u>Coup</u> <u>d'etat</u> ?	
17	A	I haven't read the rest of the transcript so I really	
8	don't rec	all of my own recollection.	
9	0	I direct your attention, Mr. Helms, to page 5 of the	
20	transcrip	t of that deposition where Mr. Miller, I think, in-	
21	formed yo	u of the basis for the deposition in which he states:	
22		"All right, just for the record so that it's clear,	

the proceeding today is a deposition in the case of E. Howard Hunt v. Alan J. Weberman, Mr. Canfield, and a printing company and its owner regarding the publication of a book, the title of which is Coup d'etat in America. The issue in contention in that book, which is our litigation today, is the question of indications in the book, photographs and statements, implicating Mr. Hunt, who is the plaintiff, in the assassination of President Kennedy in November of 1963; that he was present in Dealy Plaza and so on; specifically that certain photographs that were taken of individuals who were arrested at the time with what have been termed, I think, in the media parlance, the tramps, that one of those was Mr. Hunt and the other was Mr. Sturgis." Do you recall now that statement being made, or does it refresh your recollection as to the nature of the deposition? A Yes. 0 And it was about the book, Coup d'etat; is that correct? A Yes. And did you respond, "Who is Mr. Sturgis?" after Mr. Miller referred to Mr. Sturgis? It says that I did here. A

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

1	Q	Did you know who Mr. Sturgis was in 1979?
2	A	If I said, "Who is Mr. Sturgis?" I had obviously
3	forgotten	who he was.
4	Q	But you had known of him before '79; is that correct?
5	A	If I had originally heard of Mr. Sturgis, it would
6	have been	during my time at the Agency.
7	Q	Did you ever direct Mr. Sturgis in any of his opera-
8	tions?	
9	A	No.
10	Q	Did you ever direct him indirectly, that is, through
11	others?	
12	A	Well, I don't know. I mean that's a hard question
13	to answer.	He might have been the instrument of carrying out
14	something	that I asked somebody else to do.
15	Q	Now, this is going to require a reading of this
16	entire tra	inscript, I'm afraid, unless we can find some way to
17	short-circ	cuit it, but this is the question: Is there anything
18	in this de	eposition, which went on for a total of 89 pages
19	and that i	ncludes the notary certificate or 88 pages of
20	substance	at the most is there any substance at all re-
21	ferring to	the article in Spotlight magazine which is the
22	basis of t	the lawsuit of this case?

with the answer to that question? 2 MR. SNYDER: Mr. Lane, a lot of people have said things about Mr. Hunt. The Spotlight is a national publication 3 and resources are limited, and so if Mr. Hunt chooses to go after a national publication instead of one in Delaware, that's his business. MR. LANE: And the Associated Press is a local pub-8 lication somewhere down the block? MR. SNYDER: We have established they didn't put 10 their imprimatur on it in any way, shape, or form. 11 BY MR. LANE: 12 The article continues, Mr. Helms: 13 "According to sources close to the Select Committee on Assassination, the document reveals: 15 "Three years after Kennedy's murder, and shortly 16 after Helms and Angleton were elevated to their highest posi-17 tions in the CIA, they discussed the fact that Hunt was in 18 Dallas on the day of the assassination and that his presence 19 there had to be kept secret." 20 Did you read that? 21 A I read it. 22 Did you ever discuss with Mr. Angleton where Hunt

U

was on November 22, 1963? 2 I have no recollection of any such conversation. A 3 Do you know where Mr. Hunt was on November 22, 1963? No, I don't. 5 Did Hunt work for you on November 22, 1983? He worked in the Central Intelligence Agency and 7 under my general aegis but he did not work directly for me so I would not have been responsible for his whereabouts or knowledge of his whereabouts at any given time. 10 When this question arose, at least during August of 11 1978, if not in your mind prior to that, did you conduct an 12 inquiry to determine where E. Howard Hunt, Jr. was on November 13 22, 1963? 14 MR. SNYDER: Objection. Conduct an inquiry as to 15 what? He'd been out of the Agency five years when this thing 16 was written. 17 BY MR. LANE: 18 I didn't say as Director of the CIA. I said: Did 19 you conduct an inquiry? 20 I did not conduct any inquiry. 21 Did you call Mr. Hunt and ask him where he was? 22 No, I did not.

1	Q	Did you do anything to discover where Mr. Hunt was
2	on Novemb	per 22, 1963?
3	A	I did not.
4	Q	Do you have any idea now, as we sit here years later,
5	21 years	after the assassination of the President, where Mr.
6	Hunt was	on that day?
7	A	Specifically where he was and factually where he was?
8	Q	Yes, factually.
9	A	I don't know where he was.
10	Q	We are only concerned about factually here.
11		Do you know what state he was in?
12	A	I don't know anything about where he was.
13	Q	When was the last time you saw Mr. Hunt?
14	A	Saw Mr. Hunt? I believe that I saw Mr. Hunt way
15	across th	ne Metropolitan Club dining room four or five years
16	ago, but	I'm not sure that it was he.
17	Q	I take it, then, you did not talk to him on that
18	occasion.	
19	A	I did not.
20	Q	When was the last time you talked to Mr. Hunt?
21	A	I think the last time I talked to Mr. Hunt was
22	well, it	was prior to his employment by the White House in the

1 In the book, Coup d'etat, the statement was made 2 that before you left the Agency you ordered the destruction of 3 all of the tapes of your telephone conversations -- it says "phone conversations" -- dating back several years, including those with Nixon. 6 Is that statement in the book accurate? 7 It says I destroyed the tapes? A 8 0 Yes. Yes. A 10 That is true? 11 A Yes. 12 What tapes did you destroy? 0 13 Any tapes that existed of telephone conversations A 14 I had held with anybody. 15 Q Including Mr. Hunt? A Anybody. 17 Did you have telephone conversations with Mr. Hunt? Q 18 I don't recall any, but I may have had. If he was A 19 in the Agency, I would normally have talked to him there, but 20 I don't recall any conversations with him. I did not have any 21 telephone conversations with him after he went to work for 22 the White House.

Why did you destroy the tapes of all those telephone 2 conversations? 3 Because they were my property, and I thought it was just as well not to have them sitting around after I left. 5 was quizzed one entire day by Senator Howard Baker on this 6 subject. I'm sure the transcript is available if you are 7 really interested. 8 Did you destroy any documents when you left the 9 Agency? 10 Did I personally destroy any documents? A 11 Q Yes. 12 I don't recall personally destroying any documents. 13 I left all my documents in the Agency. 14 Did you give instructions to anyone to destroy 15 documents when you left the Agency? 16 Well, we had a standard operating procedure of a 17 certain amount of destruction of documents in order to keep 18 down the paper holdings of the Agency. There were some docu-19 ments in connection with drug testing which my permission was 20 asked to destroy, and I okayed that, approved that. 21 Who asked for your permission? 22 MR. SNYDER: Objection; irrelevant.

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

1 leak out." 2 Do you recall having a discussion with Mr. Angleton 3 about that? A No, I don't, Mr. Lane. 5 All right. The article continues: 6 "Helms and Angleton felt that a cover story, giving Hunt an alibi for being elsewhere the day of the assassination, 'ought to be considered.'" Do you recall a discussion with Mr. Angleton about 10 that? 11 A No, I do not. 12 What is a cover story? 13 Well, normally a cover story is a legend which is 14 developed to give a false impression of some event that may 15 have occurred. 16 Is that an intelligence term, "cover story"? 17 A Yes. 18 Is it used in the CIA? 19 Yes. 20 Is the concept used in the CIA? 21 Yes, cover stories are used in overseas operations 22 frequently.

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

1	Q	Are they used in domestic operations?	
2	A	They may have been.	
3	Ω/	What is a limited hangout?	
4	A	A limited hangout has been defined by Mr. Marchetti	
5	in the Sp	otlight article. It's his term, not mine.	
6	Q	Did you ever hear it used?	
7	A	I have heard it used since I left the Agency, but I	
8	don't recall its being a word of art in the Agency when I was		
9	there, or	a term of art, rather.	
10	0	How about the phrase "black valentine"? Do you know	
11	that phrase?		
12	A	I am not familiar with it.	
13	9	How about a wet operation?	
14	A	I believe a wet operation is a KGB term, and a wet	
15	operation, I believe, in the KGB lexicon means an assassination.		
16	Q	Is it a term also used in the CIA?	
17	A	Well, it may be used there. I can't honestly say.	
18	Q	Did the CIA engage in any wet operations while you	
19	were the Director?		
20	A	No.	
21	Q	Did it engage in any wet operations when you were	
22	the Deput	y Director of Plans?	

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

MR. STRICKLAND: Just a moment, please.

(Off-the-record consultation between the witness and his counsel.)

MR. LANE: Would you read back the last question, please.

(The pending question was read by the reporter.)

THE WITNESS: This question seems to go to the allegations or assassination planning in the CIA, which was fully covered in the hearings of the Senate Select Committee, otherwise known as the Church Committee, I think in 1975. I testified before that committee. It is now eight years later and I would prefer that whatever my testimony was at the time stand as of now.

BY MR. LANE:

Q Was there ever a CIA plan to assassinate Patrice Lumumba?

A There is an allegation that there was a plan to -I don't know whether it was to assassinate him or to unseat
him or exactly what were the various compasses of the plan. I
was not involved in it myself particularly, but I heard about
it at the time. One of the officers in the Agency came to me
and talked to me about it. I was not then the Deputy Director

Aco-Federal Reporters, Inc.

of Plans. As I recall, I was Chief of Operations, and Mr. Bissell was the Deputy Director of Plans. And whoever was working on this, it was not in my office and I was not familiar with all the details. I just know what this officer told me. What did he tell you? Well, he said there might be some effort made to, I guess, do away with Lumumba, and I said, well, frankly, I 7 didn't have anything to do with it, and this was not my re-9 sponsibility. And who was Lumumba at the time? 10 11 I don't even recall whether he was Prime Minister 12 of the Congo or whether he was a high official of the Congo. 13 Who was the person who told you about it? 0 14 His name was Justin O'Donnell. A 15 0 Who was he? 16 He was an officer in the Agency at the time. 17 Of the CIA? 0 18 A Yes. 19 What year was that, do you recall? 20 I don't recall, although one can put it in a frame, 21 if you like. Bissell, as I recall it, was Deputy Director of 22 Plans for three years, ending in 1962. I believe this was in

Ace- Federal Reporters, Inc.

the early part of his administration but I can't swear to it. 2 Do you know who Marita Lorenz is? 3 I beg your pardon? A Marita Lorenz. 5 I read the name Marita Lorenz in the Spotlight 6 article. I don't know whether she is correctly identified in 7 there because I don't recognize the name otherwise. 8 Do you know the name Kerry Thornley? K-e-r-r-y, 9 T-h-o-r-n-l-e-y. 10 I'm sorry, that name doesn't ring any bells with me. A 11 Did you have any relationship with the Warren 12 Commission when it was in existence? 13 My role at the time was to take leads from the A 14 Warren Commission or areas of investigation or inquiries which 15 applied overseas, in other words, outside the United States, 16 and attempt to run down these inquiries as best we could 17 and reply to the Commission in response to the inquiries. 18 That was the relationship that I had with the 19 Commission. 20 Okay. Did you run down the lead regarding Oswald's 21 presence in Mexico City in September 1963? 22 A Well, I don't recall that I ran down that lead

Ace-Federal Roporters, Inc.

because it seems to me that there was material in the United 1 States Government before the Warren Commission and before the 3 assassination of President Kennedy that Oswald was in Mexico 4 City. Was that CIA material? Yes. 7 And did that material make reference to a man 8 called Kostikov, K-o-s-t-i-k-o-v? Do you recall that name? I don't remember. That is obviously a Russian name but I don't recall what role he played. 11 Do you recall the CIA memo to the Warren Commission 12 which said in essence that Kostikov has as his cover that he's a vice consul of the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City but in 14 reality he is the KGB agent in charge of assassinations and 15 terror for the Western Hemisphere? 16 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. It assumes facts not 17 in evidence. 18 THE WITNESS: I don't recall it. 19 MR. LANE: That's how you get facts in evidence. 20 You ask about them and you get answers. 21 BY MR. LANE: 22 Do you recall discussing or seeing such a memorandum?

Acc-Federal Reporters, Inc.

MR. STRICKLAND: Same objection. BY MR. LANE: 3 You can answer. I don't recall seeing that memorandum, although I 5 don't say that I didn't. 6 Did you provide such a memorandum to the Warren 7 Commission? A I don't know. MR. STRICKLAND: Same objection. 10 BY MR. LANE: 11 You don't know? 12 I have provided hundreds of memoranda to the Warren 13 Commission. 14 Did you provide any information to the Warren 15 Commission which indicated or stated that it proved that Oswald 16 in fact was in Mexico City prior to November 22, 1963? 17 Did I provide them information saying that he was in Mexico City prior to the day of Kennedy's assassination? 19 Q Yes. 20 I believe I just said that the Agency, I believe, provided the United States Government with material, and I assume that this became part of the Warren Commission files. 22

Acc-Federal Reporters, Inc.

It may be a false assumption, but I believe it was likely that 2 it would have. 0 That's what I'm asking. I'm asking if you provided that information. 5 Provided the information to the government, yes. 6 What do you mean "to the government"? We're talking 7 about the CIA and the Warren Commission. Is there another entity you're referring to when you say "to the government"? Yes, I was referring to the FBI. A 10 Q When you say "the government," you mean the FBI? 11 Well, in this case I meant the FBI. A 12 Did you supply information to the Warren Commission 13 either directly or through the FBI which stated that there 14 were tape recordings showing that Oswald was in Mexico City 15 prior to November 22, 1963, and that he had made a telephone 16 call to the Soviet Embassy? 17 MR. MOFFETT: We object to that on the grounds 18 that the answer to that could or could not involve classified 19 information. 20 MR. LANE: I assure you, Mr. Helms, that has all 21 been published.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, until we see something along

22

those lines, we would ask the witness not to answer. 2 MR. SNYDER: I would object on the grounds of rele-3 vance, unless somebody is going to connect up Oswald and Mr. Hunt. MR. LANE: Well, just give us a little time, Mr. 6 Snyder. Give us a little time. 7 MR. SNYDER: You've had a lot of years here in 8 this lawsuit. BY MR. LANE: Did the Central Intelligence Agency inform Earl 10 Warren, the Chairman of the President's Commission on the 11 12 Assassination of President Kennedy, that Oswald had been in 13 Mexico from September 26 to October 3, 1963, and he spent most 14 of that time in Mexico City? 15 MR. SNYDER: Objection, unless Hunt is going to be 16 put in Mexico City, I don't think that has any relevance 17 whatsoever. 18 MR. STRICKLAND: Subject to that objection, you may 19 answer if you know the answer. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know. You have some 21 very specific dates in there, and my memory doesn't go to 22 recalling dates like that. I do recall having submitted

information that indicated that Hunt was in Mexico City before 2 the assassination. 3 BY MR. LANE: You know the name Silvia Duran, do you not? 4 5 My recollection of that name is that she is a woman that was in Mexico City or had something to do in Mexico City, 6 7 but I don't recall anymore what she represented. 8 Was she not a Mexican employee of the Cuban Embassy at that time, to your knowledge? 10 She may have been. 11 Do you recall testifying about her in Alexandria on May 17, 1979? 13 No, but it may be in there. 14 MR. STRICKLAND: Do you have a page number, Mr. Lane? 15 MR. LANE: I'll go on to the next question. 16 BY MR. LANE: Do you know that the Central Intelligence Agency 18 informed the Warren Commission, through its chairman, Earl 19 Warren, that Oswald had a relationship with the Soviet Embassy 20 in Mexico City which predated the assassination of President 21 Kennedy? 22 MR. SNYDER: Objection; relevance.

MR. STRICKLAND: Subject to that objection, you may answer if you know the answer.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question, please?
BY MR. LANE:

Sure. Do you know whether or not the CIA informed the Warren Commission, through its chairman, Chief Justice of the United States Earl Warren, that Oswald had a relationship with the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City which preceded November 22, 1963?

A Mr. Lane, I don't recall that the Agency, through its chairman, Mr. Warren, passed that information to the Warren Commission, but I am fairly certain that the information was passed to the staff. I don't know how it was passed.

Q Did the Central Intelligence Agency tell the Warren Commission, through staff or through a statement to the Director, from the Director of the CIA to the Chairman of the Warren Commission, or in any other way, that Oswald had called the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City and said, "This is Lee Oswald. Are there any messages for me?"

MR. MOFFETT: We object and direct the witness not to answer on the grounds that any answer could lead to the discovery or not of classified information.

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

7/

.....

BY MR. LANE:

2 3

Did the Central Intelligence Agency in fact give tapes to what we call the FBI, what you apparently call the government, ostensibly showing, demostrating, that Oswald had talked to the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City?

MR. MOFFETT: Object. The witness is directed not to answer. Same reason, that any answer could lead to the

8

discovery of classified information.

10

MR. SNYDER: I object to the entire line of questioning about Oswald's whereabouts. There has not been a shred of

11

anything connecting Hunt with Oswald. It is highly prejudicial

12

to have this assassin being spoken of as if he were some kind of acquaintance or friend of Mr. Hunt, and I have a continuing

13 14

objection to all of this.

15

BY MR. LANE:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Do you know whether or not J. Edgar Hoover, then the Director of the FBI, in 1964 wrote a letter, which has since been published, in which he stated that the several agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation who had been questioning Lee Harvey Oswald since his arrest following the assassination of President Kennedy and the murder of Police Officer Tippett, that those FBI agents had listened to the

Acc- Federal Roborters Inc

1 CIA tape of the person saying, "This is Lee Oswald. Are there any messages for me?" and that they all agreed that the voice 3 on the tape was not the voice of Lee Harvey Oswald? MR. MOFFETT: Objection for the same reason as stated 5 before. The witness is directed not to answer. 6 BY MR. LANE: 7 Have you ever seen that letter? Q 8 MR. MOFFETT: Object. The witness is directed not 9 to answer. 10 MR. SNYDER: Continuing objection for relevancy. 11 MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, the Ambassador is a very, 12 very busy man. 13 MR. LANE: We are all very busy. 14 MR. MOFFETT: That's not what I stated. The 15 Ambassador is a very, very busy man, and I wonder if we could 16 possibly try to wrap this up. 17 MR. LANE: We'll be finished when we have completed 18 the deposition. 19 BY MR. LANE: 20 Do you recall a CIA document which the Warren Com-21 mission later designated as CD -- which stands for Commission 22 Document -- 928, in which the CIA was stating to the Warren

Commission that Mr. Kostikov, who we described earlier,

"functioned overtly as a consul in the Soviet Embassy," but
was "known to be a staff officer of the KGB," and then continued, "He is connected with 13 or Liquid Affairs Department
whose responsibilities include assassination and sabotage."

MR. MOFFETT: Object. Same reason as stated before. The witness is directed not to answer.

Mr. Lane, please, we are not retrying the Kennedy assassination, or ostensibly not, at this deposition. And once again, I repeat the Ambassador is a very, very busy man.

MR. LANE: If you are concerned about his time, stop making speeches every time I ask a question.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, I would ask you to get to the point.

MR. LANE: Well, what you consider to be the point and what the CIA considers to be the point is probably not what we consider the point. I'm going to ask you to stop making speeches so that we can all move along.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, I am not going to continue making speeches. I consider this annoying and oppressive and I would ask that you get to the point of this lawsuit, and let's not retry the Kennedy assassination over and over again

Acc- Federal Reporters, Inc.

on the Ambassador's time. 1 MR. LANE: I know what areas you're so nervous about. 2 I see how you react to these questions. I know what areas you won't let Mr. Helms answer. We know where your area of nervousness is. 5 BY MR. LANE: Let me ask you this, Mr. Helms --MR. MOFFETT: Let me state --MR. LANE: I don't want to hear what you have to 10 say. I want to hear what the witness has to say. 11 BY MR. LANE: Let me ask you this, Mr. Helms --12 MR. SNYDER: Wait a minute. I think he deserves a 13 14 rebuttal time. 15 MR. MOFFETT: Now, I am going to put it to you in 16 simple English, Mr. Lane. The Ambassador is not here to 17 testify concerning the whole Kennedy assassination. He has 18 answered the questions on the articles to the best of his 19 knowledge for the past two-and-a-half hours. I once again 20 state for the record that this is annoying and oppressive to 21 continue with this line of inquiry, and I would ask that you 22 finish this up, please.

MR. LANE: I am afraid you are not familiar with the Federal Rules of Procedure regarding questions that may be asked at a deposition. I will tell you this: Neither the witness, no matter who he is, no matter who he has been honored by following convictions of nolo contendere, no matter who represents him, makes the determination as to what is relevant to one of the parties at the deposition.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane --

BY MR. LANE:

Q I am now going to ask this question and that is:

Following the receipt of the information which I made reference
to, to the Warren Commission, that is, the document designated as Commission Document 928, do you know whether Earl
Warren then publicly said to the American press when asked,

"When will we get the facts about the assassination of
President Kennedy?" "You may never get the truth in your
lifetime, and I mean that seriously."

Do you recall that statement being made by the Chief Justice?

MR. STRICKLAND: Objection. It assumes facts not in evidence, and I would direct the witness not to answer the question unless you provide him with a copy of the Commission

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

document to which you have referred and a copy of the transcript of the Honorable Earl Warren's comments. Do you have either of those documents available?

MR. LANE: We're not answering your questions, sir.

MR. STRICKLAND: I assume you decline to produce

those documents to Ambassador Helms.

BY MR. LANE:

1

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q Do you know of an FBI report dated November 23, 1963, which states:

"The Central Intelligence Agency advised that on October 1, 1963, an extremely sensitive source had reported that an individual identified himself as Lee Oswald who contacted the Soviety Embassy in Mexico City" -- and then going on with the FBI report --

MR. MOFFETT: Objection.

BY MR. LANE:

Q -- "inquiring as to any messages. Special agents of this Bureau, who conversed with Oswald in Dallas, Texas, have observed photographs of the individual referred to above and have listened to recordings of his voice. These special agents are of the opinion that the above-referred-to individual was not Lee Harvey Oswald."

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

BY MR. LANE: 2 Are you familiar with that statement? 3 I don't recall the statement, but I find nothing wrong with the statement, nothing incorrect in it. 5 Are you familiar with the minutes of the Warren Commission meeting which is critical of the CIA's report regarding Oswald's alleged presence and activities in Mexico City, stating: "For example, they" -- meaning the CIA -- "had no 10 records of Oswald's daily movements while in Mexico City, nor 11 could they confirm the date of his departure, nor his mode of 12 travel." 13 Do you recall that statement made by the Warren 14 Commission? 15 MR. STRICKLAND: Same objection as to form; it 16 assumes facts not in evidence. Mr. Lane, if you have such a 17 document or extract from the report, would you kindly produce 18 it and let the witness examine it. 19 BY MR. LANE: 20 Do you know whether or not the Warren Commission in 21 executive session --

Acc. Federal Reporters, Inc.

I'm sorry; what kind of session?

22

A

Do you know whether the Warren Commission had an 2 executive session, and in minutes, later made available under the Freedom of Information Act, stated that: 4 "The CIA did not give full documents to the Warren 5 Commission but gave instead sanitized extracts." 6 That's a quote. 7 Do you know if that statement was made by the 8 Warren Commission? 9 MR. MOFFETT: Object to the question. If you have a 10 copy of the FOIA release, Mr. Lane, please produce for the 11 witness' inspection. 12 MR. SNYDER: I object also because I don't understand 13 what's being said. An executive session is where you question 14 witnesses secretly. 15 MR. LANE: That's what you think an executive 16 session is. There are different kinds of executive sessions. 17 MR. SNYDER: If you're saying the Commission found, 18 you're talking about a report or a final document of some 19 kind. Which is it? 20 MR. LANE: I'll try to explain it to you. The 21 Warren Commission held meetings of its own, which it referred 22 to as executive sessions, and which were marked "Top Secret"

at the time. Subsequently, as a result of action by a number of citizens in this country, a number of these documents were declassified under the Freedom of Information Act. These the Warren Commission referred to as executive sessions, and these minutes of the meetings is what I'm making reference to.

MR. SNYDER: But wait a minute. A human being would have had to have said something, some member of that commission.

You're saying "The Warren Commission said." A commission doesn't say anything unless it's a final report of some kind.

MR. LANE: Is that correct? You don't think there are any Commission documents except the final report?

MR. STRICKLAND: I think this could be easily resolved, Mr. Lane, if you would simply put on the table the documents you're referring to.

MR. LANE: Well, since most of the documents I have referred to are CIA-originated documents, it hardly seems appropriate to me for counsel to the CIA to come in here and say, "What do you mean?" We don't know anything about these documents you're talking about. You created them. Do you understand that? These were created by the Central Intelligence Agency.

MR. MOFFETT: Mr. Lane, will you please get on with

Ace-Federal Reporters, Inc.

member of the Warren Commission? 2 It was certainly at the time. 3 And it was well-publicized, was it not? I don't know what you mean by "well-publicized" but 5 it was certainly in the public domain. Well, when the report was presented to the President, 7 I think it was in the Rose Garden, and it was on the front 8 page of the New York Times and the lead story on all the tele-9 vision stations; was it not? 10 I don't question your word. 11 And it was well-known, was it not, that prior to 12 that time Allen Dulles had been Director of the Central 13 Intelligence Agency? I think that was well-known. 15 Do you recall any action taken by the Central 16 Intelligence Agency while you were there for the purpose of 17 seeking to suppress or criticize works of any kind, books or 18 magazine articles, which were critical of the conclusions or 19 methods of the Warren Commission? 20 I don't recall any such. There may have been, but I 21 don't recall it. I know there were several books and magazine 22 articles and so forth about it, but I don't recall any

1	us?		
2	BY MR. LANE:		
3	Q Do you recall any document promulgated by the Centra		
4	Intelligence Agency		
5	MR. STRICKLAND: I move to strike the entire line		
6	of questions and answers given. Certainly, Mr. Lane, your		
7	book may be fascinating to you, but		
8	MR. LANE: We are not interested in your motions to		
9	strike because the court is going to rule on what's relevant		
10	for a jury which you are not a party or an attorney to a party		
11	BY MR. LANE:		
12	Q Mr. Helms, are you familiar with any document of		
13	the Central Intelligence Agency which was circulated for the		
14	purpose of impugning the integrity of any of those who were		
15	publicly associated with criticizing the Warren Commission		
16	report?		
17	A I can't answer that question, Mr. Lane, because I		
18	don't know who all these people were that were criticizing		
19	the Warren Commission report.		
20	Q You don't have to know who all of them were. You		
21	can answer whether or not		
22	A You make your question so sweeping, why don't I		

Mr. Marchetti in fact took the minutes? 2 I don't know. I could have been. Were you ever present at a meeting at which Mr. Marchetti took the minutes and the name of Clay Shaw came up? I don't recall. Were you ever present at a meeting at which Mr. Marchetti took the minutes and the name of Clay Shaw came up and you and others decided and stated at that meeting that, "We'll talk about that later, not here"? 10 I don't recall this conversation. 11 All right. Do you recall a meeting at which you 12 were present and Mr. Marchetti was taking minutes when there 13 was a discussion of the Clay Shaw trial in New Orleans? A I don't have any recollection of any of this. MR. SNYDER: Objection. He has already said he 16 doesn't recall being at any meeting with Marchetti, so why 17 harangue the witness with 20 different questions? 18 MR. LANE: Oh, I don't think anyone is being 19 harangued, Mr. Snyder. I think in an effort to refresh a witness' recollection, this is a time-honored method in our courts of refreshing a witness' recollection. 22

Acc- Federal Reporters, Inc.

BY MR. LANE:

Q Mr. Helms, did you do anything regarding the trial of Clay Shaw for conspiracy to assassinate President Kennedy? That is, did you meet with anyone in reference to it? Did you discuss it with anyone? Did you do anything whatsoever regarding that trial?

A I honestly don't recall anything about this, what went on inside of the Agency about the Clay Shaw trial. I remember Mr. Garrison wanting to subpoena me to come to some trial in New Orleans, but I don't remember whether it was in connection with Clay Shaw or whether it was a more general trial.

- Q Well, all trials have defendants.
- A I said a more general trial.
- 15 Q A more general trial?
- 16 A Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q Every trial has a defendant, and it would have to be Clay Shaw or some specific person, would it not?
- A It could have been, but I'm just trying to say that
 I do not remember who the defendant was in the trial that
 Garrison was trying to subpoena me for.
 - Q Now, Mr. Garrison's activities were widely publicized

Acc- Federal Reporters, Inc.

at the time, were they not, at the time of the Clay Shaw case and preceding it? 3 Yes, I believe that's true. And Mr. Garrison was a duly elected law enforcement official who had said publicly that the Central Intelligence 6 Agency killed the President of the United States; is that not 7 true? 8 A Did he say that publicly? Yes. Q 10 He may have. 11 MR. STRICKLAND: Objection, assuming facts not in 12 evidence. 13 BY MR. LANE: 14 Was that a matter of some discussion or concern at 15 the CIA? 16 Oh, it was a question of my being subpoenaed. Of 17 course, it was a matter of discussion at the CIA. I also went 18 and discussed it with Senator Richard Russell, who was then 19 Chairman of the CIA Oversight Committee, and who advised very 20 strongly that I not respond to the subpoena. 21 Do you know what other role Mr. Russell played in 22 his public life?

Acc. Federal Reporters, Inc.

1	A	I beg your pardon?		
2	Q	Do you know what other role Senator Russell played		
3	in his public life?			
4	A	Well, he was a senator for many years. He was		
5	Chairman of the Armed Services Committee.			
6	Q	And a member of the Warren Commission?		
7	A	Yes, I believe he was a member of the Warren		
8	Commission.			
9	Q	Did anyone else discuss with you your proposed		
10	testimony	in the Clay Shaw case?		
11	A	I never testified.		
12	Q	Your proposed testimony.		
13	A	No.		
14	Q	The fact that Garrison was trying to secure your		
15	testimony?			
16	А	I don't recall any meetings to discuss testimony		
17	because I	never appeared.		
18	0	To your knowledge, did the CIA do anything at all		
19	to assist	Mr. Shaw in his trial?		
20	A	I don't remember anything about it. I'm sorry, I		
21	simply do			
22	o o	Do you know the name Diamond, a lawyer in New Orleans		
	2	bo you know the name bramona, a ranger in now orrest		

Acc-Federal Reporters, Inc.